**WESTERN STATES SEISMIC POLICY COUNCIL**

**POLICY RECOMMENDATION 18-4**

**Identification and Mitigation of Non-Ductile Concrete Buildings**

**Policy Recommendation 18-4**

WSSPC strongly encourages states, provinces, territories, First Nations, tribes, and local governments with moderate and high seismic hazards create programs to identify non-ductile concrete buildings and develop plans and policies that will effectively reduce these buildings’ risks in their jurisdictions.

**Executive Summary**

Non-ductile concrete buildings represent a class of structures considered by earthquake risk managers to be particularly susceptible to significant damage and/or collapse during earthquakes, making them one of the most dangerous threats to life-safety and economic burdens for communities.

WSSPC strongly encourages jurisdictions to be proactive in reducing this threat to communities through legislatively mandated programs and/or municipally adopted ordinances.

**Background**

Non-ductile concrete buildings are a type of construction in which the walls and columns lack enough reinforcing steel to keep them from collapsing or being damaged beyond repair during earthquakes. These buildings can pose a great threat to life in major earthquakes because, although total collapse of these buildings is rare, just one collapse could cause hundreds of deaths. Ancillary damage due to collapse might include damage to adjacent buildings, prolonged closure of adjacent streets due to cleanup and re-build operations, and loss of work place or residence to numerous persons. In California, non-ductile concrete buildings are generally considered to have been constructed before 1980 and include archaic construction methods dating back to the early 1900s. Low ductility buildings were constructed in Oregon until the mid 1990s.

The 1971 San Fernando, California earthquake caused over $500 million in property damage in 1971 dollars (over $3 billion in 2017 dollars) and 65 deaths, due mainly to the collapse of older concrete buildings. A recent initiative by the City of Los Angeles calls for the assessment of all non-ductile concrete buildings constructed before January 13, 1977 and mandatory retrofitting within 30 years. Santa Monica, California, currently has a non-ductile concrete building ordinance.

The failure of these building types in the 1971 San Fernando, California earthquake directly resulted in significant changes to the building codes and standards for concrete buildings. Consequently, construction standards for concrete buildings since the late 1970’s have been dramatically improved helping to provide adequate collapse resistance in earthquakes.

Due to the high costs of retrofits and the infrequent occurrence of collapse, it is difficult to justify the cost-effectiveness of retrofits unless the structure is in an area of high seismicity, where the probability of failure is much higher.

This building type is a noteworthy concern since many are of significant size and contain large numbers of occupants. The Mexico City earthquake (1985), Northridge earthquake (1994), and the Great Hanshin (Kobe) earthquake (1995) in Japan, as well as the more recent Christchurch New Zealand earthquake (2011), and Mexico City earthquake (2017) all underscore the vulnerability of non-ductile reinforced concrete structures and the need to mitigate the life safety and infrastructure hazards they pose.
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**Internal Section:**

**Facilitation and Communication**

In order to develop meaningful public policies for non-ductile concrete buildings, communities will need to:

1. Characterize the problem of non-ductile concrete buildings through inventories, analysis, and prioritization.
2. Engage the community in discussions about the desire for resiliency against a likely earthquake scenario.
3. Determine how building owners and the community can bear the costs for reducing the risks from non-ductile concrete buildings. Options include developing loss estimation scenarios, replacing buildings, retrofits, partial retrofits, disclosing risks when buildings are sold or leased, and permanent signage on the structure indicating seismic risk.
4. Consider evaluating building risk through use of outside engineering firms with the competency to rate structures.

Several organizations are actively engaged in providing solutions to help communities deal with the dangers of non-ductile concrete buildings. These organizations include:

* The City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety – *Resilience By Design* (*http://www.lamayor.org/earthquake*)
* Applied Technology Council ATC-78 Project Series – *Identification and Mitigation of Non-Ductile Concrete Buildings* (*www.atcouncil.org/identification-and-mitigation-of-nonductile-concrete-buildings*) Note: this project report is in development.
* Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center and the Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation *Mitigation of Collapse Risk in Older Concrete Buildings (http://peer.berkeley.edu/grandchallenge/summary.html*)
* The Earthquake Engineering Research Institute *Concrete Coalition* (*http://www.concretecoalition.org*)
* The International Code Council as published in its *International Existing Building Code* (*www.iccsafe.org*)
* The American Society of Civil Engineers as published in its national standard *Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Existing Buildings,* ASCE 41. (*www.asce.org*)
* California Seismic Safety Commission *Commercial Property Owners*’ *Guide to Earthquake Safety (http://www.seismic.ca.gov/pub/CSSC\_2006-02\_COG.pdf)*
* California Seismic Safety Commission *Guide to Identify and Manage Seismic Risk of Buildings for Local Government. (http://www.seismic.ca.gov/pub/CSSC\_Seismic\_Risk\_Bldg\_Guide\_Exec\_Summ\_Final\_Mar\_9\_2017.pdf)*

WSSPC encourages states, provinces, and territories and communities to use all available resources to improve the resiliency and reduce the risks from non-ductile concrete buildings in their jurisdictions.

**Assessment**

Non-ductile concrete buildings are significant liabilities in our communities. Actively measuring or estimating their potential hazard on life-safety and how they might affect post-earthquake recovery strategies will serve our communities well.

This policy will become effective when urban areas adopt pro-active stances regarding the reduction of risks from non-ductile concrete buildings.

**History**

WSSPC Policy Recommendation 18-4 was first adopted as Policy Recommendation 15-4 by unanimous voice vote of the WSSPC members at the Annual Business Meeting April 24, 2015 in Pasadena, California. Policy Recommendation 15-4 was revised and re-adopted as Policy Recommendation 18-4 by unanimous voice vote of the WSSPC members at the Annual Business Meeting May 4, 2018 in Seattle, Washington.